

From: [Patricia Malarkey](#)
To: [Jeff Kleaveland](#)
Cc: [Patricia J. McGuire](#); [Michael Evan Humphreys](#); jerre999@bellsouth.net; clerner44@gmail.com; jjoyce7@triad.rr.com
Subject: SaNderway
Date: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 11:59:37 AM

Dear Jeff

As you know we the neighbors have drafted conditions for the applicant that we have put to them. I have a request for a condition for the TOC:- I have a real concern relating to our experiences to date.

As you know the stormwater review by the engineer retained by the Town failed to provide any of us with confidence that the requirements for a CUP had been met, despite the assurances to us that they had. Or equally importantly given the delicate nature of the stormwater issues known to site. I would like to ask that the TOC consider retaining an alternative engineer for this

Secondly, we have still not had an answer to our question about 'dedication' of the proposed Greenway. I think before the TOC dismisses this for fear of precedent, they should consider the unique aspects of this situation- ie the anticipation that this road will carry vehicles (emergency, municipal and private) and pedestrians. Furthermore, given the requirement of this new Greenway. I ask for your support to ensure the developer does not under engineer this proposed Greenway and that he adopts the specification provided by Mc Adams as a fit for purpose solution. I really hope you can positively consider supporting us in these two matters as citizens potentially seriously affected by this proposal.

Finally, I am sure you will appreciate that it is very unfair that we as tax payers were not allowed access to your consultant engineer and that we had to spend our money to find out that the TOC had failed to ensure (through their 'expert') that the developer met the requirements for a CUP. This means that statements and certainty of robustness were made to the Alderman in July about the stormwater management plan that simply were not true.

Can you please ensure that the Staff Report and presentation to the Alderman meeting on Oct 16th explicitly corrects this?

Thanks and I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Trish

Sent from my iPhone