

| Eligibility Criteria Checklist | Criteria Met? |
|--|---------------|
| The project is located within Town of Carrboro limits or ETJ. | |
| The project addresses at least one funding priority area identified by the Town. | |
| Requested funds will be used for at least one Town approved activity (permitted uses of funding). | |
| Project benefits households earning 115% AMI or less. | |
| The application is complete, including all required attachments, and is submitted on or before the established deadline. | |

Note: If application fails to meet all applicable criteria, the application is ineligible for consideration.

Scoring Rubric for Repair and Rehab Applications

| Income Group (Area Median Income) | Number of Beneficiaries | % of Total Beneficiaries |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| <30% of the AMI | | |
| 31%-60% of AMI | | |
| 61-80% of AMI | | |
| 81-100% of AMI | | |
| 101-115% of AMI | | |
| TOTAL | | |

Note: Priority is given to households earning 80% AMI or below for homeownership and to households earning 60% AMI or below for renters.

| 1. Quality of Project for Beneficiaries | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
| The project strives for low-cost utility expenses by utilizing energy efficient principles and products. | 5 | |
| The project rehabbed for life, i.e. incorporates Universal Design elements. | 5 | |
| The project utilizes low-maintenance, quality materials to extend the life of the unit. | 5 | |

| | | |
|--|-----------|--|
| The project is located in an area of cultural or historical significance, or the project unit is itself of cultural or historical significance. Ex. The Northside Community, Carrboro Mill houses. | 5 | |
| 1. Total Points | 20 | |

| 2. Affordable Housing Impact | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| The project aligns with the Town of Carrboro’s Priority Affordable Housing Goal to increase the quality of housing stock while maintaining affordability. | 10 | |
| The project aligns with the Town of Carrboro’s Priority Affordable Housing Goal to help people stay in the homes they have. | 10 | |
| The project includes provisions to ensure long-term affordability. | 5 | |
| 2. Total | 25 | |

| 3. Project Feasibility | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| The project timeline is realistic and feasible to achieve successful project completion. | 10 | |
| The project budget is complete and reasonable to achieve successful project completion. | 10 | |
| 3. Total Points | 20 | |

| 4. Capacity and Experience | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| The applicant has sufficient staffing and demonstrated expertise to manage all aspects of the project. | 15 | |
| 4. Total Points | 15 | |

| 5. Community Collaboration, Engagement and Support | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| The project included intended beneficiaries in the design and/or planning. | 7 | |
| The applicant has evidence of coordinating the application with other organizations to complement/support the project. | 3 | |
| 5. Total Points | 10 | |

| Grand Total Application Points | Grand Total Maximum Points | Grand Total Applicant Score |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Add totals from 1-5 (green boxes.) | 90 | |

Scoring Scale

| 0 Points | Mid-point | Max. Points |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Criteria not met. | Basic criteria met. | Criteria exceeded. |

| Eligibility Criteria Checklist | Criteria Met? |
|--|---------------|
| The project is located within Town of Carrboro limits or ETJ. | |
| The project addresses at least one funding priority area identified by the Town. | |
| Requested funds will be used for at least one Town approved activity (permitted uses of funding). | |
| Project benefits households earning 115% AMI or less. | |
| The application is complete, including all required attachments, and is submitted on or before the established deadline. | |

Note: If application fails to meet all applicable criteria, the application is ineligible for consideration.

Scoring Rubric for Development Applications

| Income Group (Area Median Income) | Number of Beneficiaries | % of Total Beneficiaries |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| <30% of the AMI | | |
| 31%-60% of AMI | | |
| 61-80% of AMI | | |
| 81-100% of AMI | | |
| 101-115% of AMI | | |
| TOTAL | | |

Note: Priority is given to households earning 80% AMI or below for homeownership and to households earning 60% AMI or below for renters.

| 1. Quality of Project for Beneficiaries | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
| The project is easily accessible to community services and resources such as schools, healthcare, groceries and public transportation. | 5 | |
| The project strives for low-cost utility expenses by utilizing energy efficient principles and products. | 5 | |

| | | |
|--|-----------|--|
| The project is designed for life, i.e. incorporates Universal Design elements. | 5 | |
| The project utilizes low-maintenance, quality materials to extend the life of the unit. | 5 | |
| The project is located in an area of cultural or historical significance, or the project unit is itself of cultural or historical significance. Ex. The Northside Community, Carrboro Mill houses. | 5 | |
| 1. Total Points | 25 | |

| 2. Affordable Housing Impact | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| The project aligns with the Town of Carrboro’s Priority Affordable Housing Goal to increase the supply of affordable housing units. | 10 | |
| The project includes provisions to ensure long-term affordability. | 10 | |
| 2. Total Points | 20 | |

| 3. Project Feasibility | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| The project has other sources of funding in place at the time of the application. | 5 | |
| The project timeline is realistic and feasible as well as demonstrates zoning compliance. | 5 | |
| The project budget is complete and reasonable to achieve successful project completion. | 5 | |
| 3. Total Points | 15 | |

| 4. Capacity and Experience | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| The applicant has successfully developed and completed | 10 | |

| | | |
|---|-----------|--|
| comparable projects in compliance of jurisdictional regulations, within proposed budgets and timelines. | | |
| The applicant has sufficient staffing and demonstrated expertise to manage all aspects of the project. | 10 | |
| 4. Total Points | 20 | |

| 5. Community Collaboration, Engagement and Support | Maximum Points | Applicant Score |
|--|--|------------------------------------|
| The project included intended beneficiaries in the design and/or planning. | 2 | |
| The applicant has evidence of coordinating the application with other organizations to complement/support the project. | 3 | |
| The applicant has plans to develop linkages to other community programs, resources or projects related to the proposed project to coordinate its activities so solutions are holistic and comprehensive. | 5 | |
| 5. Total Points | 10 | |
| Grand Total Application Points for Development Applications | Grand Total Maximum Points for Development Applications | Grand Total Applicant Score |
| Add totals from 1-5 (green boxes.) | 90 | |

Scoring Scale

| | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 0 Points | Mid-point | Max. Points |
| Criteria not met. | Basic criteria met. | Criteria exceeded. |