



301 W. Main St.
Town Hall
Carrboro, NC 27510

Town of Carrboro

Meeting Minutes

Board of Aldermen

Tuesday, October 15, 2019

7:00 PM

Board Chambers - Room 110

Present: Mayor Lydia Lavelle, Alderman Bethany Chaney, Alderman Barbara Foushee, Alderman Jacquelyn Gist, Alderman Randee Haven-O'Donnell, Alderman Damon Seils, Alderman Sammy Slade

Also Present: David Andrews, Town Manager; Chris Milner, Deputy Town Clerk; Nick Herman, Town Attorney

POETRY READING

Paul Jones read a poem titled "Where I Come From."

PROCLAMATION – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AWARENESS MONTH

Mayor Lavelle read a proclamation establishing October as "Domestic Violence Awareness Month" in the Town of Carrboro and presented it to Cordelia Heaney, Executive Director of Compass Center for Women and Families.

PROCLAMATION – FIRST RESPONDER DAY

Mayor Lavelle proclaimed Saturday, October 19, 2019 as "First Responder Day" in the Town of Carrboro and presented the proclamation to Interim Fire Chief Brad Harvey.

CHARGES ISSUED TO RECENTLY APPOINTED ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Charges were issued to the following persons to sit on the Recreation and Parks Commission:

- Brian Payst
- Chris Colvin

REQUESTS FROM VISITORS AND SPEAKERS FROM THE FLOOR

Shelley Welch, founder of the Wildflower Learning Community, spoke with the Board about on-going issues she has had with the Merritt Gravel Pit, an adjoining property.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM SEPTEMBER 17, 24 AND OCTOBER 1, 2019

At the request of Mayor Lavelle and Alderman Slade, approval of the minutes was postponed until the October 22 meeting.

APPOINTMENT TO THE STORMWATER ADVISORY COMMISSION

The purpose of this agenda item was for the Board of Aldermen to make an appointment to the Stormwater Advisory Commission.

A motion was made by Alderman Chaney, seconded by Alderman Haven-O'Donnell, that the following resolution be approved:

A RESOLUTION MAKING APPOINTMENTS TO THE STORMWATER ADVISORY COMMISSION

The Board of Aldermen do hereby appoint the following applicant to the Stormwater Advisory Commission:

Seat Designation	Appointee	Term Expiration
In-Town	Lauren Joca	2/2022

This, the 15th day of October 2019.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Mayor Lydia Lavelle, Alderman Bethany Chaney, Alderman Barbara Foushee, Alderman Jacquelyn Gist, Alderman Randee Haven-O'Donnell, Alderman Damon Seils, Alderman Sammy Slade

APPOINTMENT TO THE APPEARANCE COMMISSION

The purpose of this agenda item was for the Board of Aldermen to make an appointment to the Appearance Commission.

A motion was made by Alderman Chaney, seconded by Alderman Haven-O'Donnell, that the following resolution be approved:

**A RESOLUTION MAKING AN APPOINTMENT TO THE
APPEARANCE COMMISSION**

The Board of Aldermen hereby appoints the following applicant to the Appearance Commission:

Seat Designation	Appointee	Term Expiration
Member	Laura Szpir	2/2022

Section 2. This resolution shall become effective upon adoption.

This, the 15th day of October 2019.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Mayor Lydia Lavelle, Alderman Bethany Chaney, Alderman Barbara Foushee, Alderman Jacquelyn Gist, Alderman Randee Haven-O'Donnell, Alderman Damon Seils, Alderman Sammy Slade

**RESOLUTION ADOPTING CHANGES TO THE TOWN OF CARRBORO POSITION
AND CLASSIFICATION PLAN**

The purpose of this item was to reclassify the position of Firefighter to Firefighter/Relief Driver.

A motion was made by Alderman Chaney, seconded by Alderman Haven-O'Donnell, that the following resolution be approved:

**RESOLUTION ADOPTING CHANGES TO TOWN OF CARRBORO
POSITION CLASSIFICATION AND PAY PLAN**

WHEREAS, the Board of Aldermen has adopted a comprehensive Position Classification and Pay Plan for the Town of Carrboro; and,

WHEREAS, the Town Manager has determined that fire operations could be improved by combining the Firefighter position with the Firefighter/Relief Driver position;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN OF THE TOWN OF CARRBORO
RESOLVES:

Section 1. The Position Classification and Pay Plan is hereby modified as follows:

- a. The Firefighter position, Salary Grade 8, is reclassified to Firefighter/Relief Driver position; and,
- b. Salary Grade for Fire Fighter/Relief Driver position is changed from Salary Grade 9 to Salary Grade 8.

Section 2. All other provisions of the Position Classification and Pay Plan remain unchanged.

Section 3. The Human Resources Director shall revise the Position Classification and Pay Plan to reflect the above changes.

Section 4. This resolution shall become effective upon adoption

This, the 15th day of October 2019.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Mayor Lydia Lavelle, Alderman Bethany Chaney, Alderman Barbara Foushee, Alderman Jacquelyn Gist, Alderman Randee Haven-O'Donnell, Alderman Damon Seils, Alderman Sammy Slade

**PRESENTATION FROM JIM THOMAS REGARDING THE NEGATIVE IMPACTS OF
FACIAL RECOGNITION TECHNOLOGIES**

The purpose of this agenda item was to allow the Board of Aldermen to hear a presentation on facial recognition technologies.

Jim Thomas, Carrboro resident and faculty member at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, spoke about his concerns over the use of facial recognition technology. He discussed the limitations of the technology, the potential for errors and the possible abuse of the

technology. He recommended the Town have a moratorium on investing in facial recognition technologies, then engage in a period of research and discussion on this issue, and then develop a Town policy on the use of facial recognition.

Alderman Gist expressed concern over doorbell cameras.

Jim Thomas noted that doorbell cameras in a neighborhood can be networked together and can be connected to the police department.

Alderman Gist said she would like the Board to pass a resolution to act on Jim Thomas' recommendations.

Alderman Chaney asked whether the existing cameras in Town Hall and the body cameras that are used by police can be used for facial recognition.

Jim Thomas said these cameras could be converted to be capable of facial recognition but cannot do so in their present configuration.

Alderman Foushee asked whether this technology might soon be improved so the issues of bias would be addressed.

Jim Thomas said he has not heard of any improvements to address bias. He said there is no doubt that improvements will be made; however, he has heard nothing but alarms regarding bias. He also stated that there are instances where facial recognition can be helpful.

Alderman Slade noted that every new technology brings a positive and a negative, but these are getting beyond human control. He agreed that there needs to be a policy in the Town. He also asked staff to provide information on whether the Town's body-camera policy addresses facial recognition.

Alderman Slade asked about the camera that is placed at the intersection of Weaver Street and Main Street that was installed with funding from NCDOT. He asked what it would take to have this camera removed.

He also addressed the subject of doorbell cameras. He asked the Board to tell the Police Chief to refrain from using this type of video until the Board has a chance to deliberate on the issue.

Alderman Haven-O'Donnell concurred with Alderman Gist's support for Jim Thomas's recommendations.

Alderman Seils clarified that the Town's body camera policy does not address facial recognition technology. He asked that the Town conduct an inventory of its cameras and determine whether the body cameras or other cameras are capable of facial recognition.

Mayor Lavelle asked Nick Herman, Town Attorney, to notify the Board about any preemption areas regarding this issue.

MOTION WAS MADE BY ALDERMAN GIST, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HAVEN-O'DONNELL, THAT THE TOWN HAVE A MORATORIUM ON INVESTING IN FACIAL RECOGNITION TECHNOLOGIES, THEN ENGAGE IN A PERIOD OF RESEARCH AND DISCUSSION ON THIS ISSUE, AND THEN DEVELOP A TOWN POLICY ON THE USE OF FACIAL RECOGNITION. ADDITIONALLY, THE TOWN WILL CONDUCT AN INVENTORY OF ITS CAMERAS AND DETERMINE WHETHER THE BODY CAMERAS OR OTHER CAMERAS ARE CAPABLE OF FACIAL RECOGNITION. THIS MOTION APPLIES TO MUNICIPAL ACTIVITIES AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE USE OF FACIAL RECOGNITION BY PRIVATE COMPANIES OR RESIDENTS. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL.

CONSULTANT PRESENTATION ON THE NC 54 WEST CORRIDOR STUDY

The purpose of this agenda item was to receive a second presentation on the NC 54 West Corridor Study with additional information regarding the origins and destinations of existing and proposed trips, and related analysis.

Zach Hallock, Transportation Planner, provided the staff report on this agenda item. This included a history of the project and an overview of future steps.

Alderman Foushee asked whether there are benefits to Carrboro in this plan.

Zach Hallock said the primary focus is to move more vehicles through the corridor, especially during peak hours. He also provided the following discussion points:

- Carrboro's Vision 2020, section 4.41: "As a general policy, established roads should be widened to accommodate bike lanes and sidewalks, but not to provide additional lanes for automobiles"
- Increased impervious surface area within the Canes Creek and University Lake watersheds.
- Effects on traffic patterns across the rural network and along NC 54 within Carrboro.

Don Bryson, representing VHB, the contractor that conducted this study, provided a report of the findings and recommendations.

Alderman Slade noted that one of the big issues raised in this report is the inability to pass cars. He wanted to know to what degree is the issue of the inability to pass cars on that section of road contributes to the incapacity of the whole corridor.

Don Bryson said if people could pass that would go a long way toward solving the issue. He said they looked at introducing a passing lane, but they would only be able to put them where passing was already allowed. It was determined that this solution would not work.

Alderman Slade asked whether there was consideration of a three lane solution where one lane would be “reversible” depending on the prevailing direction of traffic, either going into, or out of, the Carrboro/Chapel Hill area.

Don Bryson said he has seen other instances of this solution being tried and they did not work well.

Alderman Slade stated that this solution is done in other places.

Don Bryson said that it is done for special events or for projects such as airports.

Alderman Slade noted that a motivating factor for this study is development in the Graham area, but he wondered whether traffic flow via Interstate 40 would alleviate some of the perception of the congestion.

Don Bryson agreed that a slight modification in the models would result in a greater amount of traffic flowing through Interstate 40 to reach the Carrboro/Chapel Hill area.

Alderman Haven-O'Donnell stated that it seems premature to be looking at a four-lane road from Old Fayetteville Road to Dodson's Crossroads. She acknowledged that traffic in that area can be frustrating, but it is not bumper-to-bumper. She felt that bus rapid transit or other alternate means of transport could help alleviate future issues, and expanding the road to four lanes with a median in the middle is an archaic way of looking at this issue.

Don Bryson noted that bus transit on that route would not be reliable in terms of travel time since the road is only two lanes. He said the road would need to be widened in order to run a bus rapid transit route along it. He also noted that any improvements that are decided upon now will not be implemented for approximately ten years, so there is a need to look at the potential traffic of the future, not current traffic requirements.

Mayor Lavelle noted that this is the phase in the process to make comments. This issue will be presented to Orange County and will come back to the Board at a later date.

Alderman Seils echoed Mayor Lavelle's statement and added that residents can attend the open house on this subject and make comments. He also noted that safety improvements, such as signals at intersections, are still underway and it remains to be seen how these improvements will impact safety issues along this corridor.

Don Bryson said the intersections will become safer, but it might cause bunching of cars that could result in other issues.

Alderman Seils addressed the non-automobile aspects of this project. He asked whether there was right-of-way to do anything other than widen the road to four lanes.

Don Bryson said that in most areas there is not, and there is a need for additional design review to determine what can be done. He also said that it is not clear how the new Complete Streets

Policy will impact multi-use paths and similar things in unincorporated areas. He said that it looks like these things could be paid for, including right-of-way, if it is incorporated in a locally accepted plan.

Alderman Seils asked for clarification as to whether it would require the acquisition of additional right-of-way.

Don Bryson confirmed that it would.

Alderman Seils felt it was important for people to understand that widening the road and adding a shared use path will require a lot of resources. He noted that the increased traffic demands that would come with a widening would go through Carrboro. He asked whether this would require a widening of the Highway 54 bypass.

Don Bryson felt that this would not be a significant issue.

Alderman Seils noted that there is already a safety issue with people crossing Highway 54 on foot.

Alderman Chaney said the only thing that would be attractive about Phase 1 is a multi-use path.

A motion was made by Alderman Chaney, seconded by Alderman Foushee, that the following resolution be approved:

A RESOLUTION RECEIVING A PRESENTATION ON THE
NC 54 WEST CORRIDOR STUDY PHASE TWO

WHEREAS, the Town of Carrboro participates in the Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization (DCHC MPO); and

WHEREAS, the Board of Alderman has interest in receiving updates related to regional transportation study impacting local community members; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Alderman received a presentation from VHB on the NC 54 West Corridor Study on October 16, 2018.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Carrboro Board of Aldermen that the Board receives the presentation from VHB on the second phase of the NC 54 West Corridor Study, and the associated report with its findings and recommendations.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board provides the following comments:

- The Board is unconditionally opposed to Phase One of this plan.

This, the 15th day of October 2019.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Mayor Lydia Lavelle, Alderman Bethany Chaney, Alderman Barbara Foushee, Alderman Jacquelyn Gist, Alderman Randee Haven-O'Donnell, Alderman Damon Seils, Alderman Sammy Slade

PUBLIC HEARING: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR PHASE 1 OF SHOPS AT LLOYD FARM

The purpose of this item was for the Board of Aldermen to hold a public hearing regarding a request for a Conditional Use Permit for Phase 1 of Shops at Lloyd Farm at 700 & 706 Old Fayetteville Road.

The speakers listed below were sworn in by the Town Clerk.

James Thomas, Planner and Zoning Specialist for the Town of Carrboro, provided a staff summary of this item.

Alderman Seils asked whether the primary street through the development, which will be known as Merchants Way, will be public or private.

James Thomas said all the streets in this development will be public. He noted that the Public Works Department has reviewed the plan.

Alderman Slade asked about the engineer's assessment of the stormwater features. He wanted to know whether that assessment took account of rocks that are near the surface and the rocks' potential impact on infiltration capacity.

James Thomas said he would defer to the engineer on that question.

LeAnn Brown, attorney for the applicant, addressed the Board. She introduced the applicant's plan for presentation of evidence during the meeting. She asked that the representatives of the developer be received by the Board as experts so that they can provide opinion testimony to the Board under North Carolina General Statute 160A-393. She also asked that Attachments A through I in the agenda packet be moved into evidence.

Ted Barnes addressed the Board. He is the manager of Carrboro Retail, LLC, the applicant. He provided an overview of the plan with a focus on Phase 1 of the development. He specifically addressed each of the advisory board comments that are found in Attachment H.

Alderman Slade asked whether written responses would be provided.

Ted Barnes said they could provide written responses. He then addressed the advisory board comments.

Alan Maness, of VHB, addressed the stormwater plans for this development. He stated that the development will meet all state and local requirements for the CUP.

Travis Fluitt, transportation engineer with Kimley-Horne and Associates, provided the traffic analysis for this development. He said the transportation impact analysis was prepared in coordination with, and approved by, Town staff and NCDOT. He said the traffic impacts associated with this development are expected to be mitigated by the required and recommended roadway improvements and the adjacent roadway network can safely accommodate the traffic from this development.

Matthew Stycles, transportation engineer with VHB, addressed lighting for this development. He recommended the use of 25-foot light poles rather than the 15-foot light poles that are required by the Land Use Ordinance.

Duke Boykin, property appraiser and President of Shaw/Boykin, LLC, addressed the development's potential impact on the value of nearby properties. He used examples of other, similar, developments to determine the likely impact of the Lloyd Farm development on real estate values. One example he used was the North Hills development in Raleigh. He cited a number of nearby properties that were sold, the houses demolished, and new homes were built on the lots. These new homes were then sold at a significantly higher price.

Alderman Gist expressed concern over this, questioning whether this represented a positive impact and referring to it as the definition of gentrification.

Duke Boykin said this is what happens when new developments take place. He concluded by stating his belief that the plan proposed by the applicant would not substantially injure the value of the adjoining or abutting properties and will be in harmony with the area.

Mayor Lavelle asked if there were speakers from the floor who wished to speak.

Allen Spalt, resident of 300 James Street in Carrboro, expressed concern over this development. He questioned whether taller light poles would be better. He also questioned the applicant's claim that tree protection will be constantly monitored. He wanted to know who would monitor it and doubted that it would be effectively monitored. He also noted that there was a neighborhood meeting on September 12th, but only certain neighbors with whom the developer had previously had discussions were invited. He felt that this was not consistent with the Carrboro process. He asked that the neighborhood be included in discussions regarding the impacts on transit. He also raised concerns that people would transit through the Post Office parking lot due to inadequate egress options from the development. He said some of the residents in area had already left in anticipation of problems resulting from the development.

Marcia Cordova-Roth, resident of 201 Rainbow Drive in Carrboro, said that many of the neighbors are expressing frustration with the process and feel that their opinions are not being heard. She questioned the value of the services that will be provided by the development. She raised concerns about the impact of increased traffic, impacts on stormwater issues, pollution and

the impact on quality of life in general. She asked for a real neighborhood meeting and that the opinions of the residents be heard.

Mayor Lavelle said that the decision to rezone the property was already made a year ago. She clarified the process and where it stood as of this meeting. She noted that the standards for the Board to grant a conditional use permit are:

- It does not endanger public health or safety
- It does not substantially injure the value of adjoining properties
- It will be in general conformity with the land use plan

Alderman Gist noted that a neighborhood meeting was missing from the process. She also stated that the phrase “health, safety and welfare” is not closely defined. She hoped that there would not be a vote on this development at the meeting because there was a lot of information to consider. She also expressed disappointment that the applicant had not provided written responses to the advisory board comments.

Alderman Slade asked for Nick Herman, Town Attorney, to explain the difference between testimony by public citizens and those who are experts on a topic.

Nick Herman provided this explanation.

Alderman Slade asked Nick Herman whether the determination of value is limited to monetary value, or can it include community value such as affordability within the Town.

Nick Herman explained that the Board act as judges in this type of proceeding and it is up to the Board to determine whether this is something of value.

LeAnn Brown reviewed some of the questions that had been raised and noted that the experts could address these issues.

Matthew Stycles, the lighting expert, took questions from the Board.

Alderman Chaney asked whether it would be feasible to have 15-foot light poles in certain areas of the development or shade the lights. She noted the recent complaints about LED lighting in other neighborhoods and wanted to try to alleviate this issue in this development.

Ted Barnes joined Matthew Stycles and they addressed Alderman Chaney’s concerns. Ted Barnes said they would be willing to use 15-foot light poles if required, but it would be economically inefficient.

Alderman Slade said that one of the complaints related to LED lights is that they are too blue, and he noted that the applicant’s plan calls for lighting that would be even more blue. He asked if it would be possible to look at lighting that would be closer to the lighting that the Town already has. He also addressed the future phases of the development which would include residential properties and asked if the proposed road lighting would impact these future residences.

Ted Barnes said the developer for that section is perfectly fine with 25-foot light poles.

Alan Maness took questions from the Board regarding stormwater issues.

Alderman Slade wanted to know the extent to which shallow rock on the property would impact the stormwater features and their ability to infiltrate water and achieve the run-off standards.

Alan Maness explained how the site was designed to minimize the impact of the shallow rock.

Alderman Slade then asked about the residential component of the development and wanted to know whether stormwater issues were considered when planning for that part of the development.

Alan Maness said they have not yet worked on the residential portion, and stormwater mitigation for that part of the development would be the responsibility of the developer of the residential portion.

Alderman Slade asked Nick Herman if it is OK.

Nick Herman said he would need to discuss this with Trish McGuire, Carrboro's Planning Director.

Alan Maness discussed conversations with Town staff and the Town engineer that touched on this.

Alderman Haven-O'Donnell asked if the retention ponds would include water features for aeration.

Alan Maness said this would be an aesthetic question.

Alderman Chaney asked for an overall response to the Stormwater Advisory Comments.

Alan Maness said this design, which was prepared for the CUP, meets all requirements. When the project moves into construction drawings, they will look at other things and consider whether they can be done.

Alderman Chaney asked for clarification about a claim that, not only will this development not increase stormwater flow, it will actually decrease it in some circumstances.

Alan Maness discussed the analysis that led to this conclusion.

Nick Herman addressed Alderman Slade's earlier question about whether it is appropriate to defer the development's residential area stormwater plan until a later phase. He said that, for the purposes of this hearing, the consideration should only be on Phase 1. If there is a Phase 2 in the future, then stormwater issues for that part of the development would be considered.

Ted Barnes along with Travis Fluitt, the traffic expert, fielded traffic-related questions from the Board.

Alderman Gist asked about “good neighbor clauses.” She said these are usually included in construction plans for large projects but did not see any for this development. She said she would like to see good neighbor clauses for this project.

Alderman Haven-O’Donnell asked for confirmation that bike repair stations were included in the plan.

Ted Barnes confirmed that there are two bike repair stations planned for this development.

Alderman Haven-O’Donnell then asked about options that are being discussed for Carol Street. She wanted to know whether staff had a plan to seek input from residents on these options.

Zach Hallock, Carrboro’s Transportation Planner, said there is not an expectation for a significant amount of traffic spill over into the adjacent neighborhoods. He provided additional details on considerations for Carol Street and nearby neighborhoods.

Alderman Chaney discussed bus service on Carol Street. She said some residents had issues with bus service on that street and the board had requested that bus service be routed through the Lloyd Farm development under the belief that this was what the majority of residents wanted. She asked for more feedback from residents on this to be sure that the Board is making the correct decision. She also addressed traffic calming, sidewalks and other issues and welcomed input from residents on these issues. She then asked Alan Maness about traffic patterns with respect to the post office.

Ted Barnes responded to her concerns and discussed the plan for traffic patterns near the post office.

Alderman Seils asked about a proposed side path and how it would interact with the entrance to the development from Highway 54.

Ted Barnes stated that the plan would address the concerns raised by Alderman Seils.

Alderman Seils then asked about bicycle parking. He wanted to ensure that the planned location for bicycle parking would be close enough to the planned Harris Teeter.

Ted Barnes addressed this concern.

Alderman Seils said it was his understanding that the applicant was supportive of installing raised crosswalks as a safety feature for pedestrians. He asked that the applicant proceed with these. He also raised the issue of \$15,000 in funding that would be available for transportation improvements in this project. He asked staff to ensure that this money is used based on neighborhood input and recommendations from the staff. He then addressed the issue of lighting. He said the lighting ordinance was revised to meet the spirit of the Dark Sky Initiative. He asked

staff to provide an opinion as to whether the applicant's requested waiver for light pole height would keep the project in line with the Dark Sky Initiative.

Alderman Slade asked that the applicant provide details regarding the plan to use native plant species as much as practical. He also asked about the side path that was previously discussed by Alderman Seils. He wanted to know where the side path will have access to the site.

Ted Barnes discussed some options.

Alderman Slade asked the applicant to provide an estimate on how far beyond the required minimum he planned to use street trees. He asked that the applicant consider putting bicycle parking in close proximity to buildings other than just the Harris Teeter. He noted that the Harris Teeter would be pre-wired for solar panels on the roof and stated he wanted to know what it would take for Harris Teeter to actually install the solar panels. Alderman Slade asked that the applicant think of the Environmental Sustainability Commission's comments as conditions or commitments that the applicant could take on. He also asked that the applicant's responses to the Stormwater Advisory Commission's comments be addressed as conditions.

Mayor Lavelle asked when the Public Hearing could be continued.

David Andrews said it would be at next week's meeting.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY ALDERMAN GIST, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HAVEN-O'DONNELL, TO CONTINUE THE PUBLIC HEARING TO OCTOBER 22, 2019. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL

APPOINTMENT TO THE ORANGE COUNTY CLIMATE COUNCIL

The purpose of this agenda item was for the Board of Aldermen to appoint a resident to the Orange County Climate Council.

The Board cast paper ballots with the following results:

Terry Hammersley: (Zero)

Kathy Kaufman: (Six) Foushee, Gist, Haven-O'Donnell, Lavelle, Seils, Slade

Rachel Loberger: (Zero)

Note: Alderman Chaney abstained from the vote

MOTION WAS MADE BY ALDERMAN SEILS, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN SLADE, THAT KATHY KAUFMAN BE APPOINTED TO THE ORANGE COUNTY CLIMATE COUNCIL. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL

CLOSED SESSION

MOTION WAS MADE BY ALDERMAN CHANEY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN FOUSHEE, TO ENTER INTO CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO NCGS 143-318.11(a)(5) TO ESTABLISH, OR TO INSTRUCT THE PUBLIC BODY'S STAFF OR NEGOTIATING AGENTS CONCERNING THE POSITION TO BE TAKEN BY OR ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC BODY IN NEGOTIATING (I) THE PRICE AND OTHER MATERIAL TERMS OF A CONTRACT OR PROPOSED CONTRACT FOR THE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY BY PURCHASE, OPTION, EXCHANGE, OR LEASE; OR (II) THE AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION AND OTHER MATERIAL TERMS OF AN EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT OR PROPOSED EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL

OPEN SESSION

MOTION WAS MADE BY ALDERMAN SEILS, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CHANEY, TO ENTER INTO OPEN SESSION. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL

ADJOURNMENT

MOTION WAS MADE BY ALDERMAN FOUSHEE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HAVEN-O'DONNELL, TO ADJOURN THE MEETING. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL