Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	16-169	**Version:** 1	**Name:**
Type:	Agendas	**Status:**	Consent Agenda
File created:	5/20/2016	**In control:**	Board of Aldermen
On agenda:	5/24/2016	**Final action:**	
Title:	Follow-up Report of Advisory Boards' Technical Review of Carrboro Vision2020 PURPOSE: The purpose of this item is to provide the Board of Aldermen with an update on the Advisory Boards' second review of the Carrboro Vision2020 document.		
Indexes:			
Code sections:			
Attachments:	1. Attachment A - Resolution, 2. Attachment B - Cover Memo Vision2020 2nd review, 3. Attachment C - PB-Overview paragraph 2nd review, 4. Attachment D _ 2nd Round Vision2020 Technical Review, 5. Attachment E - 11-3-2015 NTAAC cover letter Vision 2020 Review		

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result

TITLE:

Follow-up Report of Advisory Boards' Technical Review of Carrboro Vision2020

PURPOSE: The purpose of this item is to provide the Board of Aldermen with an update on the Advisory Boards' second review of the Carrboro Vision2020 document.

DEPARTMENT: Planning

CONTACT INFORMATION: Christina Moon - 919-918-7325; Patricia McGuire - 919-918-7327

INFORMATION: At the February 2, 2016 regular meeting, the Board received a presentation from Jack Haggerty, representing Planning Board, on the results of a technical review of Carrboro Vision2020. Noting the fifteen anniversary of the adoption of Carrboro Vision2020, the Town's principle guiding document, the Planning Board saw an opportunity to use the expertise of the various advisory boards to review the document and offer an initial evaluation of the Town's progress on the goals described within. The effort took the place of the National Community Planning Month forum series that the Planning Board has held in October for the past few years.

After receiving the February presentation, Board members noted that a few advisory boards had not fully participated in the effort and directed staff refer the document back to the advisory boards for a second opportunity to comment on all provisions of the text. As was the case in the first review, advisory boards were invited to comment on any of the provisions in the document. In addition, as part of this second review, advisory boards could add to comments submitted by other boards and/or modify their own previously submitted comments in order to add clarity or further refinement.

In late February 2016, staff distributed an updated memorandum to staff liaisons requesting that the second Vision2020 review be included in March-April agendas. Input from the advisory boards in the initial technical review was compiled and inserted into the Vision2020 text in black boldface italics; language inserted as part of the second technical review is shown in red boldface italics (Attachment D). A supplemental memo submitted

by the NTAAC as part of its review is included separately (Attachment E).

The Planning Board reviewed the Vision2020 document with the second round of comments, at its May 5, 2016 meeting and prepared a brief summary paragraph outlining its intention throughout the technical review process to develop brief, aspirational vision statements (Attachment C). Formatting revisions were made to advisory board comments compiled during the first technical review in order to prepare a more polished document; similar work was not undertaken during the second review.

A resolution has been prepared (Attachment A) to receive the updated Vision2020 materials, and if desired, to provide comments and/or directions for potential next steps. Staff anticipates bringing the document back to the Board at one or more future dates for a more in-depth discussion of the technical review.

FISCAL & STAFF IMPACT: There is no fiscal impact for receiving the follow-up report. Fiscal impacts and staff time may be associated with potential next steps.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that the Board of Aldermen adopt the attached resolution receiving the update and technical report, and to consider potential next steps.